G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

Q1. 170.

1914.

(Misc 2)

File No. 243

Serial Nos. 1 5 –

Later references.

488-17 561-10.

319 34-17

58-44-1-2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for Dec 18

SUBJECT.

Acharyapurusha Maryade in Sri Narayanaswami Temple at Melkote

---

DO Dispose

14462 5.12.18

Rejects the appeal

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

G.R.S. 11] 1914.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Muzrai

NOTES.

File No. 2434

FILE HEADING.

Acharya Purusha Mariyade in the Sri Narayana Swami temple at Melkote.

From

Vidwan Venkatesa Iyengar of Melkote.

No petition Dated 9.11.14 Received

Diary No. 773	Enclos. /	Spare Copies.	Serial No. /

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Prays that the above mariyade which is hereditary in his family may be ordered to be continued to him

NOTES AND ORDERS.

VJ

The petition is duly stamped. A copy of the Dy. Commrs. order Communicated to the aplt. through the Taluk Office, has also been produced. The aplt. assigns reasons for delay in filing the appeal. A draft

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—35,000—15 6 12

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Hmz File No. 243 of 1914.

Serial No.

Calling for report with record is submitted for approval or otherwise orders.

MM 10-11-14

The reasons given are that he was away at Bangalore engaged in the study of Vedanta, Tarka, & other śastras in which he has attained proficiency. Being purely a vaidik and not being conversant with the Law of limitation, he begs that this ignorance may be condoned, & the papers in the case called for.

In this view of the case, the appeal may appd be admitted & the records called for from the Dy Commr Hmz.

RG
10/11/14

2. From, the Dy Commr, Hmz.
Letter no 242 Dy 11-11-1914.
Submits report called for.

Serial 2.
The Dy Commrs report & the facts of the case clearly.

GPB—30,000—15 J 11

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Judl File No. 213 of 1914

Serial No.

The petitioner Vidwan Yembar Manar claims the Acharyapurusha marigade in the Sri Narayana Swami Temple at Melkote the Barawand is reported to be in the name of Rajadurga Govindappiengar and his son Srinivasa Ramanujengar is enjoying the marigade and the pay attached to it viz Rs 1 & 0.

2 The petr's case is that his great-grandfather Yemberu Manar and that of his opponent Govindappiengar are brothers, that his father Sampatkumarachar, his grand-father Narasimhachar and his great-grandfather were enjoying this marigade along with the members of his opponent's family, that during the revision of the Jasdik Patti in 1893, as he and his brother were minors, their were omitted and the marigad Barawand was made out in the name of his opponent's father. He prays that he may be permitted to enjoy the marigade with his opponent.

4 It is reported by the Asst

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—30,000—15 J 11

and Revenue Secretariat. | Mrz File No. 245 of 1914.

Serial No.

Bokkasara Sumasthan

Petitioner That in the Dittam Pattis of the years Jaya, 1881 and 1841, both the names - Sannidappangar and Narasimhachar (petrs. Grand-father) are mentioned.

y

2) His opponent says that the petr. is not entitled to this mariyade, that his Great Grand-father and yembers manar were members of a divided family, that they have been enjoying the mariyade for upwards of 70 years, that Sannidappangar was the Sannadder and his name and those of his adopted father and grand father are mentioned in Acharyapurushas list, Arsi Bavanas register and in the Temple records containing the Temple revenue, and that during the Temple revenue, they were registered as Karnams.

W

2 The Dy. Commr is not prepared to go behind the Jamabandi Patti of 1893 which excludes the petrs. name, and has issued an order rejecting the petrs. claims.

Against this order, yembers manar has appealed to Govt.

If it is decided to re-open the question settled so far back as 18

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—70 000—17-12-15

777

5

S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mrz File No. 243 of 1914

Serial No.

The Dy. Commr. may if so directed, be asked to send up for perusal the documents relied upon by the Contending parties.

Submitted for orders.

M (85)
8.1.1916

The relationship between the parties is as follows —

13

original managers.

Govindappiengar — Srinivasachar — Govindappiengar — Srinivasa Ramanujaiengar (adopted son) P

Jambira Mannar — Narasimmachar — Sampathkumaachar — Veeraraghavachar / Jambira Mannar Appl.

I

1st The Amildar's report explains the case clearly. The petitioners ancestors seem to have enjoyed the Maryada in question. The petitioner & his brother have slept over their claims for a long time, but the petitioner is agitating the question since 1907. It is not clear why they were omitted during the revision of the scale in 1893

May this be posted for

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30 6-15

771

16

12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mez File No. 243 of 1914

Serial No.

admission

ans

19/4/16 to Bring up in person. which is the elder branch. put up from mrs Supdt. with the Jagdik patti of 1893

See G.O. dt 16

I Rayadurgam Sowndappiengar, karavanddar of the post, belongs to the elder branch. The Jagdik Patti of 1893 is put up.

A draft enquiry is submitted for appl or other orders.

4. The name of Narasimhachar was shown in the Karavand conjointly with Sowndappiengar representative of the elder branch of the family and it was dropped until 1893 when the Jagdik patti was revised for an apparently valid reason. The Karavan pertains which is a post which has no fixed duties attached but it is a position of honour assigned owing to the learning and respectability of the person who first earned the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30-6-15

WD 1238—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mus File No. 243 of 1914

Serial No.

recognition. By the very nature of the Wiss it is unreasonable to claim that all the members of the family should participate in the honours or enjoy in common the endowments. So far as the temple is concerned recognition can be extended only to one member of the family who may be any person that the family so chooses but where there is no such elected person, it is respectful to show the honour of the representative of the elder branch.

Further there is nothing to show that a direct ancestor of the appellant was the original founder. The Respt. alleges that the Wiss was acquired by fraudulent practices who was the brother of Embarumanar from whom the Appt. is descended. He is actually the grandson of two brothers and as such his right to participate in the Wiss is even more remote.

The appeal is besides barred by limitation having been brought full one year and three months after the date of the Commissioner

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—8.

8

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Hussy File No. 243 of 1914

Serial No.

and ten months after the service of the endorsement. There is no satisfactory explanation for the delay.

The petition now under consideration seeks to revise an order passed so far back as 1883 and even on merits there is no case for the petitioner. I have also enquired into the prevailing practice at the Malkata temple and I learn that recognition is given only to one member of the family and obviously this can be only to the descendant of the elder branch

Put up an endorsement rejecting the petition briefly stating that only one member can be recognised and the present recognition of the representative of the elder branch is correct.

KS. 17/1/18

10-1-18

Submitted with a draft endt. for approval

AY(S3) 29-1-1918

31/1/18

KS. 31/1/18.

Secy)

MD 1233—GPB—60,000—1 12-16—S.

(9

S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Hussy File No. 243 of 1914

Serial No.

2 There does not seem to be any necessity for posting. On technical grounds the appeal is liable to be dismissed and there is no case even on merits.

An endorsement is put up dismissing the appeal for non-prosecution or other order.

Lee
4.2.18

(Mr. Menka)

2702/5/2/18

9/2

I think may be posted
4/2

Put. Lee
6.2.18

KB.
6/2/18.

Posted to 9.4.1918

Appellant present and heard. Respondent was not given notice. Orders reserved.

B.O.
KB.
16/4/18.

4078/13/5

I was under the impression that the Respt. also had been served with notice but did not turn up. I should like to hear the case before passing orders. The case may be re-heard, when any one of the parties may have his say. Whether the party is present or not, the case should be heard & disposed of on merits. Why was notice to Respt. not given? What is the practice in the matter?

Lee
10/5/18

KB.
13/5/18.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(10

ND 1233—GPL—60,000—1-12-16—S.

S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Jud. File No. 263 of 1916.

Serial No.

Adj. to Agamik Panchakam
Executive for Enjeevan and Srivaipen
and Kumbakonam. Re
18.5.16

KB.
15/5/16

Agama Panchayam has been informed.

KB.
22/5/16.

Today.

Adjd to 16-7-1918,

Subs

In view of the Secy's remarks regarding the admissibility of the appeal Notice was issued only to the appellant. — It is learnt that the practice in the Temples of Southern India is to recognise for the honour only one among the family either on account of close relationship to "Karnam" or on account of the worth of the individual.

KB
6/7/16.

Secy.)

(1st member)

Re
12-7-16

Appellant was present. Seloapillaiyangar, respdt's Son (the respdt being dead) was not present though served with Notice. — A petn since received from him asking for an adjt is put up

B.O.

KB
17/7/16

Adjd.

NOTE—Contn sheet.

R. -2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 243 of 1914

Serial No.

Petition (no. 234) from Govindan Nambiyar praying that the practice in vogue in the Melkote temple by which the elders, and in their absence the Yajmanastas of a particular family entitled to Nitya Nainyade actually get Nitya, may kindly be kept in view while disposing of this case, is filed with the record as directed

Submitted
M 25-7-18

Secy.)

Take for perusal
26/7/18.

Can see Vir. record and note if it contains anything in favour of against the allegation made by petr. It is against the information that was given to me at Melkote by me on 26-7-18

26/7/18.

In the Vern. records, reference is made to the appellants' allegation in the marginal Kannada note of the temple Jurisdictional Amaltha on the petition (of the appt.) to the temple dy 14-1-1907, and also in the Dy. Amildar's report dy 16.9.15

Submitted P.S.
M 27.7.1918

The Manya die-in said to pertain to those of the family who are present, probably residents meaning thereby

D.S. 30/7/18

Secy.)

NOTE—Contn sheet

MDA 1789—GPB—60,000—20-3 18—S

(12

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mey File No. 243 of 1916.

Serial No.

The auildar my quotes the Jamin Jamanta and his testimony is not very much use. As certain depending what the practice is as regards the other archarya purushas. The opinion of the Dharmadars is on a general statement often a proposition may also be obtained and [illegible].

WV

30.7.18

Submitted with a WP. for approval.

WV 1.8.1918 RL

Seen

2/8/18.

What I had in view was to get to know the practice from the auildars. A question through the S. would mean a long account of delay and risking of side issues gradually giving rise to unrest among the other archarya purushas. The information was wanted only to repute an allegation in the petition which on the face of it seems to be absurd.

Let the case be posted. The Secretary may call for definite information on the subject.

Leg 3.8.18

5/8/18.

NOTE—Contn. shee.

WD A 1789—GPB -60,000 .07

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mmy File No. 43 of 1914.

Serial No.

4 The dy. Amildar's report received in the Mmz Supts' office is placed on the file.

The Dharmadarsis of the temple consisting of Pandita Ratnam Huppanengar and 2 others have stated that it is usual to show Agra in the marigade to one representative of the family entitled to the honors and not to all the members of that family.

Submitted. The case has been posted for hearing before the Extra member on 18.9.18

6.9.18

7/9

Copy of the Rec. of the above held on 19.8.18)

Sg. G

Posted for hearing 18th inst.)

671-242
12.9.18.

Pankis present & hears.

The applt's brother, who is the senior member of his branch, & as such has a prima facie claim in such matters, has made any representation against the name of his

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD, A 1789—GPB—60,000—20 3 19—S.

S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 243 of 1916

Serial No. 49

attitude on his part is significant. In the Revised Patti which was made out in 1893 the names of the appt & his brother were missed out. and the reason for this would appear to be not so much because their father happened the minor at the time as that was considered in expedient to recognise more than one representative of the family as eligible to the privilege Thus the appt has continued to be his representative since 1907 [illegible] seems to me that it is inadvisable to reopen the matter at this stage. Further the matter cannot in fact be said to have also formed part of the custom or manual to recognise more than one member of the family as entitled to the marigat. Thus appt and to me to be seems in practice & that no case has been made out for the practice being modified. In these circumstances the appeal fails.

IOR
12 1 15:

Encl. Letter & Dft memorandum draft and do
HJ 30.9.18 Dft - Sent for approval.
20/10/18.

Seen
[illegible]
5/11

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—[illegible]18—S.

-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Meer File No. 263 of 1916.

Serial No.	
4	No. 144687 5-12-18 To the petnr Rejects the appeal. Copy to Dc of Myoo

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20 3 18—S.

2585

16

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No 1254 Ecclesiastical Dept 8/12/1914
Mus 243-14-2.
The accompanying Petition Registered
No. 7703 dated 9.11.14.
received at the General and Revenue
Secretariat from Vidwan Venkoba
Iyengar residing in
Jodi Valkundi village,
Nagamangala Taluk, advancing
his hereditary claims to
Acharya Purusha Mariyade
in the Sri Narayana
Swami Temple at Melkote,

is forwarded, together with its enclosure
to the Dy. Commr
Mysore Dist
for report
with records

By Order,

Secretary to Govt.

BANGALORE,
.... 191

P. T. O.

GPB—1,000—8-15

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್ ..... ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

..... ಹೋಬಳಿ

..... ಗ್ರಾಮವಲ್ಲಿರುವ

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ಮೆಂಟ್ ಹುಕುಂ.

..... ಅವರ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು,

.....191 .

By Order,

Secretary to Govt.

GPB—100,000—29-7-14.

194

From The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

DIARY No. 777
19 15 16
SECTION Mun
FILE No. 243-14
SERIAL No. 3

To The Rev: Secy: to Govt:
Muzrai Branch,
Bangalore.

Dated 9th Nov: 1915.
Despatched
Received
General No. 7-642
No.
Enclosure No.

Submitting a report on the claim of Kowan Yenbaru Swamy of Melkote for Archa Purusha Mayade in the Sri Narayana Swami Temple at the place

No: 2564

Office of the
Mysore District
Dated Mysore 9th November 1915.

From

A. Subramanya Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

V. R. Thyagaraja Iyer, Esq., M.A.,
Rev: Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Branch.
Bangalore

Sir,

Adverting to your Memo no: 1254 muzrai 243-14-2 dated 8th December 1914 forwarding a petition of Vidwan Nembane-manar advancing his claims to the Acharya-purusha Manyade in the Sri Narayana-Swami temple at Melkote, and calling for a report thereon, I have the honor to state as follows:-

The petitioner applied in 1907-08 to the Temple and to the French Rocks Sub Taluk for the said manyada and supported his petition by state-ments given by him and his elder brother one

befor the Temple peshkar (9)
and the Amildar of Nagamangala to the
effect that their ancestor and the ancestor
of the present Acharya purusha Srinivasa
-Ramanuja Iyengar were brothers, that the
mariyade used to be accorded to both branches
of their family that as they were away from
Melkote sometime the petitioner was a minor and
~~was minor~~ &c, their claims were overlooked
and the post was granted to the present
Acharya purusha in 1893 at the time of the
revision of the scale of Temple establishment,
and that they base their claims to the mariyade
on Thirthavarache list of the year Jaya and the
same lists prepared in the years 1881 and 1871.
The lists show that, as claimed, the mariyade
used to be accorded to both Govindappan-
gar and Narasimhachar the respective
ancestors of the present Acharya purusha
Srinivasa Ramanuja Iyengar and the
petitioner.

The present Acharyapurusha in his
statement before the same Amildar asserts

10

that the petitioner and his brother
sort of claim for the Manyade and that for
the last 70 years, his ancestors only have been
in the enjoyment of the manyade as can be
seen from the Achanyapurusha list in the
temple, rice-apportionment registers etc.

The Deputy Amildar, French Rocks sought
the orders of the Sub Division Officer in the
matter, who sent the papers to this office
stating that many years ago, at the time of
the revision, only one hakdar was recog-
nised. Thereupon, it was ordered by this
office on 1-3-1913 that no reason exists
for granting the prayer of the petitioner
as the claims of the present Achanya pura-
sha has been recognised in the revision
of the scale so long back as in 1893 and
that it was not possible to go behind the
revised list sanctioned by Government.

An endorsement has been given to be
above effect to the petitioner. The petitioner

appeals in the petition from the above order.

The connected records ending with French Rocks Sub Division officials yadi no: 1081/285 dated 22nd October 1915 accompany for reference and kind return when done with.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
N. S. [illegible]
Assistant Commissioner
Deputy Commissioner
Ex. Engineering Duties
Absent from Div

22

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Appeal No. 13 of 1917-18

Appellant.

Yemberu Manaya

243-14-4.

Vs.

Respondent

Dhore Salvapillieyyan
Son of Srinivasa Ramanujayya
(Since deceased).

Subject.

Appeal against order
no. 1067 dy 1st March 1913
of the Dy. Commr Mysore
Dist, declining to permit
the apltr to enjoy alongwith
the respts' fathers, the Archaka
purusha mariyade in the
Sri Narayana Swami Temple
at Melkote on the grounds
that the apltrs' grand-father
Narasimhachar's name
was omitted during the
revision of the Jagir
Patti so far back

GPB—1,000—8-8-11

The case was heard on 18 Sept. 1918, both the aplt and the respts being present in person.

The aplt appellant's brother who is the eldest member of his branch and as such has the preferential claim in such matters, has not made any representation till now and this attitude on his part is significant. In the revised Patti which was made out in 1893, the names of the aplt and his brothers were missed out, and the reason for this would appear to be not so much because they happened to be minors at the time as that was considered inexpedient to recognise more than one representative of the family as eligible to the privilege. Though the aplt has continued to make his representation since 1907, it is inadvisable to

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

Reopen the matter at this stage. Further the authorities consulted in the matter have also opined that it is not the usual custom or manual to recognise more than one member of the family as entitled to the mariyada. This appears to be found in practice and that no case has been made out for the practice being modified. In these circumstances, the appeal fails and is accordingly ~~rejected~~.

Record no. 1446 Mung [illegible]-16-4
Secto Circle VII
dated 5-12-16

Copy to the Dy. Comm^r, Mysore Dist^t, with the record received with his letter no. 242 dy 10-11-1916.

B. O.

5-11-16
Joint Secretary,
Mung Depot

20/10/16 8/11/16

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

GPB—20 006—16-2 M

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

B. O.

Joint Secy
Muz. Dept

ఓబ్బయ్యా వచ్చాక నా దండ.

ఏ గ్యాస్ కార మొత్తి కరిడీ న తెయ్యు కర్మా

ఒత్తిరు రక్కే...

ద్రవ్య రాశిగళు క్రీ కరణ శ్రేష్ఠ

మేంటరుగళు వాత్రక్కిల్లా నత్తిరువ కాంతిగళ

స్రోతాన్య డిస్ట్రిక్ట్ కి వసేంతిర్బేకు - అవరల్లి

వకీళ్ళరు వాస్తవిసిద శిక్షణ మత్తు ఆజీవన

రుక్నరుగళూ ఇరబేక్ను. ఇవరు కళ్గి వందేం

రంకంగళ్ళ క్రూరి నార్వి యవుగ వాస్తవిసికుత్తా

రేవు. ంర కేళి ఐసేన్ను రయసిబేక్ను నడెయుత్తిద్ద

రిశాకర్నాటక నడెయుత్త రేంకిన్న సువరాంరు

వంగళ్ళ గ్రేరి ఊళిరువ్వు రకెర్ళి ఆ అల్ల స్వరి

నసుత్తి యవు వర్షాకాల తెయుగ ఆజ్ఞారక్షి

ఎన్న ఇరువ నాన్కిలుల్లా రాజ్యకు త్తి కే. వరరు

వంశళ్ళ గ్రేరి సాన్న ఆవశ్యకతెయు వర్షా రేజ్ఞర

కే రీ త్రక్ష మంత్రాలయ రంగళోడ్డు మిరంకేల

రల్లి వడ్రరవంతరవు కేంద్ర రయసంబర ఆజ్ఞాత్రి

స్వరూపరి శే ఫరియంగళు రెయుత్తిర్బేకు ఆ ఊ-

రక్షణవళ్ళ నిల్లి తేనవు అవుర్బే కనాళ్ళల్లా

న తెయు సర్వా తేరుగాన్ను కే తప్పి సు

ఆత్మక్రాంయంకేరరూ నవు ళ్ళి దక్కే

ధన్యళ్ళి ంద్ర కిశా రతి కారు రాజ్యళుగళ్ళ

సేనాతెయు రతి కార్యరవల్లి ఉక్క తుంకరిగళల్లా

ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ

ಕಾಲೇಜಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಕೊಠಡಿಯನ್ನು ಅವಶ್ಯಕತೆ

ಕ್ಕೆ ಅನುಸಾರವಾಗಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚುವರಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲು

ಕಡತವು ಇರುತ್ತದೆ.

ಇಂತಿ ನಿಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ

ಸಹಿ

ದಿ 25-8-18

Immediate

Writing from memory

12-8-1918

Veeravalasa
D. Peshkar
13/8/18

234

09300

సన్ 1917-1918 నెల్లూరు మునిసిపల్ అపీల్-నంబరు 13

అప్పీలుదారు
డాక్టర్ మైసూర్ రంగనాథ కాంతి భట్టు
వగైరా శ్రీ భాగవతుల సూర్యనారాయణ శాస్త్రి
కేసులో శల్లపల్లి వెంకయ్యగారి తరపున వకీలు

అప్పీలుప్రతివాది
డాక్టర్ వెంకటసుబ్బదాసు సంస్థానం కోర్టు
కాలాధ్యక్షులు కొలుకొలి. యం.
వెంకటసుబ్బారావు

మిల్స్ అతని శ్రీ భాగవతుల సూర్యనారాయణ శాస్త్రి మీద వేసిన దావాలో అప్పీలుదారుడు ప్రతివాది.

అప్పీలు తారీఖు అప్పీలు మొదలైన తేదీలు—

16-7-1918 తేదీన ముంసిఫు కోర్టులో మొదట దావా వేసినప్పుడు దాన్లో వాదులు ప్రతివాదులు అనే మాటలు కాకుండా ఫిర్యాదీ ముద్దాయి అనే మాటలు వాడినట్టు ఫిర్యాదీ ముద్దాయి అనే మాటలు ఎందుకు వాడినట్టు?

ముంసిఫ్ మిల్స్ అతని దావాలో దాలి నరసయ్య తర్వాత తర్వాత నందరి. శ్రీ వరదరాజులస్వామి నళ్ళవరపు లక్ష్మమ్మ వగైరా ముద్దాయిలు మళ్ళా ముద్దాయిలు దిస్మిస్ చెయ్యమని అప్పీలు చేసినారు అప్పీలు వాదులు బందరు అసిస్టెంటు కలక్టరు గారి కోర్టులో అప్పీలు వేసినారు అసిస్టెంటు కలక్టరు దగ్గిర అప్పీలు వేసినప్పుడు ముద్దాయిలు చాలామంది వాదులు అయినారు ముద్దాయిలు ఎక్కువ అవడం వల్ల అసిస్టెంటు కలక్టరు దగ్గర దాలి నరసయ్య తర్వాత తర్వాత నందరి ముద్దాయిలు వాదులు అయినారు

ఆ తర్వాత ముంసిఫ్ కోర్టులో దావా పత్రికలు అన్నీ కలక్టరు గారి వద్దకు వెళ్ళినవి అసిస్టెంటు కలక్టరు గారు ఇదివరలో ఫిర్యాదీ అన్నమాట అప్పీలు వేసినప్పుడు అప్పీలు కాలంలో ఫిర్యాదీ ముద్దాయిలు అనే మాటలు వాడి

10/3/10/16
ON Aller
FILE
SERIAL

శపించాడు.

దేవస్థానాలన్నీ ఆంధ్రావాళ్ళతో నిండిపోయాయి
వాళ్ళు మన వాళ్ళని దేశాలు ఆంగ్లేయులకి ఏర్పరిచారు. ఇంకా
మన వాళ్ళు ఆంగ్లేయులకి ఏర్పడ్డారు. ఆ తరువాత ఆంగ్లేయులతో సన్నిధి
మన వాళ్ళు ఎంత ప్రయత్నించినా ప్రయోజనం లేకపోయింది
అందరూ ఆంగ్లేయులతో వ్యాపారం చేస్తున్నారు. ఆంగ్లేయులు
మనుషులతో వ్యాపారం చేయడం ఆంగ్లేయులకి ఇష్టం లేదు.
ఇంకా ఆంగ్లేయులు మనతో వ్యాపారం చేయడానికి ఇష్టపడటం లేదు.
దేవుడు మన వాళ్ళతో వ్యాపారం చేయడానికి ఇష్టపడటం లేదు.
ధనవంతులు పేదవాళ్ళతో వ్యాపారం చేయడానికి ఇష్టపడరు.
అన్నదాతలు అన్నం పెట్టడానికి ఇష్టపడరు.
అందరూ మనుషులతో వ్యాపారం చేయడానికి ఇష్టపడటం లేదు.
ఇంకా మనుషులతో వ్యాపారం చేయడానికి ఇష్టపడటం లేదు.

మనుషులతో వ్యాపారం చేయడానికి ఇష్టపడటం లేదు.
దేవుడు మన వాళ్ళతో వ్యాపారం చేయడానికి ఇష్టపడటం లేదు.
ధనవంతులు పేదవాళ్ళతో వ్యాపారం చేయడానికి ఇష్టపడరు.
అన్నదాతలు అన్నం పెట్టడానికి ఇష్టపడరు.
అందరూ మనుషులతో వ్యాపారం చేయడానికి ఇష్టపడటం లేదు.
ఇంకా మనుషులతో వ్యాపారం చేయడానికి ఇష్టపడటం లేదు.

మనుషులతో వ్యాపారం చేయడానికి ఇష్టపడటం లేదు.
దేవుడు మన వాళ్ళతో వ్యాపారం చేయడానికి ఇష్టపడటం లేదు.
ధనవంతులు పేదవాళ్ళతో వ్యాపారం చేయడానికి ఇష్టపడరు.
అన్నదాతలు అన్నం పెట్టడానికి ఇష్టపడరు.
అందరూ మనుషులతో వ్యాపారం చేయడానికి ఇష్టపడటం లేదు.
ఇంకా మనుషులతో వ్యాపారం చేయడానికి ఇష్టపడటం లేదు.

మనుషులతో వ్యాపారం చేయడానికి ఇష్టపడటం లేదు.
దేవుడు మన వాళ్ళతో వ్యాపారం చేయడానికి ఇష్టపడటం లేదు.
ధనవంతులు పేదవాళ్ళతో వ్యాపారం చేయడానికి ఇష్టపడరు.
అన్నదాతలు అన్నం పెట్టడానికి ఇష్టపడరు.
అందరూ మనుషులతో వ్యాపారం చేయడానికి ఇష్టపడటం లేదు.
ఇంకా మనుషులతో వ్యాపారం చేయడానికి ఇష్టపడటం లేదు.

నమస్కారములు

నా పేరు [illegible] నేను [illegible]
నాకు [illegible] ఇద్దరు పిల్లలు [illegible]
నా భర్త [illegible] నా భర్త [illegible]
నా భర్త [illegible] నా భర్త [illegible]
నా భర్త [illegible] నా భర్త [illegible]
నా భర్త [illegible] నా భర్త [illegible]
నా భర్త [illegible] నా భర్త [illegible]

ధన్యవాదములు

[illegible]